

ASSIGNMENT 3 – FIRST ORDER LOGIC

1. Express the following plain English sentences in First Order Logic by using the predicate definitions below.

Predicate	Meaning	Predicate	Meaning
$Happy(x)$	“ x is happy”	$Female(x)$	“ x is a female”
$Vegetarian(x)$	“ x is a vegetarian”	$Fisherman(x)$	“ x is a fisherman”
$Likes(x, y)$	“ x likes y ”	$Eats(x, y)$	“ x eats y ”

The domain of x and y is the set of all people.

- 1.1 Mickey and Minnie are both not happy.

Correct Answer: $\neg Happy(Mickey) \wedge \neg Happy(Minnie)$

Incorrect Answers:

- $\neg [Happy(Mickey) \wedge Happy(Minnie)]$ means ‘One of them maybe happy’.
- $Happy(Mickey) \wedge Happy(Minnie)$ means ‘Both of them are happy’.
- $\neg [Happy(Mickey, Minnie)]$ is a wrong use of $Happy$ predicate.

- 1.2 Mickey and Minnie are not both happy.

Correct Answer:

$\neg [Happy(Mickey) \wedge Happy(Minnie)] \equiv \neg Happy(Mickey) \vee \neg Happy(Minnie)$

Incorrect Answers:

- $Happy(Mickey) \vee Happy(Minnie)$ means ‘Both of them maybe happy’.
- $(\neg Happy(Mickey) \wedge Happy(Minnie)) \vee (Happy(Mickey) \wedge \neg Happy(Minnie))$ does not include the case when they are both not happy.

- 1.3 Minnie is happy if and only if Mickey is happy.

Correct Answer:

$Happy(Mickey) \leftrightarrow Happy(Minnie)$

$\equiv (Happy(Mickey) \rightarrow Happy(Minnie)) \wedge (Happy(Minnie) \rightarrow Happy(Mickey))$

Incorrect Answers:

- $Happy(Minnie) \rightarrow Happy(Mickey)$ means ‘Mickey is happy if Minnie is happy, but not the other way around’.

1.4 Everybody likes all vegetarians.

Correct Answer:

$$\forall x \forall y \left(\text{Vegetarian}(y) \rightarrow \text{Likes}(x, y) \right)$$

Incorrect Answers:

- $\forall x \forall y \left(\text{Likes}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Vegetarian}(y) \right)$ means 'All people whom everybody likes are vegetarian'. Any other kind of people are not liked.
- $\forall x \forall y \left(\text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge \text{Vegetarian}(y) \right)$ means 'All people like everybody, and all people are vegetarian'.
- $\forall x \forall y \left(\text{Likes}(x, \text{Vegetarian}(y)) \right)$ is a wrong FOL expression. *Likes* predicate needs two objects, but *Vegetarian* predicate returns a truth value not an object.

1.5 All people who do not eat meat likes all vegetarians.

Correct Answer:

$$\forall x \forall y \left((\text{Vegetarian}(y) \wedge \neg \text{Eats}(x, \text{Meat})) \rightarrow \text{Likes}(x, y) \right)$$

Incorrect Answers:

- $\forall x \forall y \left(\neg \text{Eats}(x, \text{Meat}) \wedge (\text{Likes}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Vegetarian}(y)) \right)$ means 'All people do not eat meat, and all people whom everybody likes are vegetarian'. They do not like any other kind of people.
- $\forall x \forall y \left(\neg \text{Eats}(x, \text{Meat}) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge \text{Vegetarian}(y) \right)$ means 'All people do not eat meat, like everybody, and are vegetarian'.

1.6 Some vegetarians are fishermen.

Correct Answer:

$$\exists x \left(\text{Vegetarian}(x) \wedge \text{Fisherman}(x) \right)$$

Incorrect Answers:

- $\exists x \left(\text{Fisherman}(x) \rightarrow \text{Vegetarian}(x) \right)$ means 'There exists a person who is not a fisherman or is a vegetarian'.

1.7 Some fishermen do not like fish.

Correct Answer:

$$\exists x \left(\text{Fisherman}(x) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{Fish}) \right)$$

1.8 Nobody likes a woman who is vegetarian.

Correct Answer:

$$\neg \left[\exists x \exists y \left(\text{Vegetarian}(y) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, y) \right) \right]$$

1.9 Not all fishermen who are vegetarian are happy.

Correct Answer:

$$\neg \left[\forall x \left((Fisherman(x) \wedge Vegetarian(x)) \rightarrow Happy(x) \right) \right]$$

1.10 Mickey does not like any vegetarian.

Correct Answer:

$$\forall x \left(Vegetarian(x) \rightarrow \neg Likes(Mickey, x) \right)$$

2. Let $L(x, y)$ be a predicate “ x loves y ”. The domain of x and y is the set of all people. Translate to following First Order Logic sentences into plain English.

2.1 $\forall x \exists y \left(L(x, y) \rightarrow L(y, x) \right)$

Everybody has somebody that they loves, and that person also loves them back.

2.2 $\exists x \exists y \exists z \left(L(x, y) \wedge L(x, z) \wedge \neg(y = z) \wedge \forall w \left(L(x, w) \rightarrow ((w = y) \vee (w = z)) \right) \right)$

There exists somebody who loves exact two persons.

2.3 $\exists y \forall x \left(L(x, y) \wedge (L(y, Kitty) \vee L(y, Brownny)) \right)$

There exists somebody whom everybody loves and that person loves Kitty or Brownny.